Board Members

James J. Cox, Ed.D.
Chairperson
Miki Paul, Ph.D.
Vice-Chairperson
Gary D. Lovejoy, Ph.D.
Secretary
Melissa Del-Colle
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.
Joseph C. Donaldson
Maryann Santos de Barona, Ph.D.
Fred Wiggins, Ph.D.
Vacant – Public Member



State of Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners

1400 West Washington, Suite 235 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Phone: (602) 542-8162 Fax: (602) 542-8279 www.psychboard.az.gov info@psychboard.az.gov

Staff

Maxine McCarthy Executive Director

Marcus E. Harvey Deputy Director

Vicki E. Fox Investigator

Shari S. Courtnay Administrative Assistant

REGULAR SESSION MINUTES

Friday, December 1, 2006

1400 West Washington Basement Conference Room, #B-1 Phoenix, AZ 85007

1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners was called to order by Chairperson Cox at 8:34 a.m. on Friday, December 1, 2006. No Executive Sessions were held.

2. ROLL CALL

Board Members Present¹

James J. Cox, Ed.D. - Chairperson Miki Paul, Ph.D. - Vice-Chairperson Gary D. Lovejoy, Ph.D. - Secretary Melissa Del-Colle Joseph C. Donaldson Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D. Maryann Santos de Barona, Ph.D. Fred Wiggins, Ph.D.

Solicitor General's Office

Christopher Munns, Esq. 4 Assistant Attorney General

Staff Present

Maxine McCarthy, Executive Director Marcus Harvey, Deputy Director Vicki Fox, Investigator Shari Courtnay, Administrative Assistant

Attorney General's Office

Michelle Wood, Esq.²
Assistant Attorney General

Erin Cohen, Esq.³ Assistant Attorney General

3. REMARKS/ANNOUNCEMENTS

Chairperson Cox stated that documentation was available for licensees who wished to receive continuing education credit for attending Board meetings and explained the procedures for documenting the attendance. Dr. Cox also announced that anyone was welcome to complete a Board meeting assessment survey. Finally, he welcomed Michelle Wood, Esq. to the Board as the new Assistant Attorney General, who introduced herself.

¹ There was currently a vacancy on the Board for a public member position.

² Present until 12:00 p.m. on Friday, December 1, 2006.

³ Present at 1:10 p.m. on Friday, December 1, 2006 for Agenda item nos. 9 through 12, and 20.

⁴ Mr. Munns was available to the Board by telephone for Agenda item nos. 9, 10 and 12.

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- Regular Session October 6, 2006 A motion was made by Mr. Donaldson, seconded by Dr. Paul, and unanimously carried (8-0), to approve the October 6, 2006 Regular Session minutes.
- Executive Session October 6, 2006 Mr. Donaldson made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wiggins, which was unanimously carried (8-0), to approve the October 6, 2006 Executive Session minutes.
- Regular Session Conference Call November 3, 2006 Finally, Mr. Donaldson made a motion, which was seconded by Dr. Wiggins, and unanimously carried (8-0), to approve the November 3, 2006 Regular Session Conference Call minutes.

5. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

Chairperson Cox gave the public the opportunity to address the Board at this time. Dr. Faren Akins, liaison for the Arizona Psychological Association reminded the Board that he is continuing to work with the committee working on the rules change regarding supervision of psychology trainees. He thanked Dr. Cox for his work on this issue, and noted that with the expiration of Dr. Cox's term on the Board, the make-up of the committee would be necessarily changing. Finally, Dr. Akins wished the Board a Merry Christmas.

6. INFORMAL INTERVIEW – Linda Estes, Ph.D. – No. 06-20

Dr. Cox stated that it was the time and place for the informal interview of Dr. Linda Estes. Dr. Estes was present with her attorney, Janet Walsh, Esq., who introduced themselves, as did Board members, staff, and Ms. Wood, the Board's attorney. Dr. Cox announced the procedures for the hearing and read the allegations against Dr. Estes. Dr. Estes was sworn in by the court reporter present, whose transcript shall serve as the official record of the proceedings. Ms. Walsh made an opening statement to the Board and Board members then proceeded to interview Dr. Estes.

Board members then discussed the case, after which Dr. Lovejoy made a motion to dismiss the case because it was without merit. The motion was seconded by Mr. Donaldson and Board members discussed the motion. Ms. Walsh then made a closing statement to the Board, after which the motion failed, (3-5), with Ms. Del-Colle and Drs. Paul, Mellott, Santos de Barona, and Cox voting no. A motion was then made by Dr. Mellott, seconded by Dr. Paul, and carried (8-0), to issue a letter to Dr. Estes expressing Board's concerns that in her consultation provided in her role as Chief of the Behavioral Analysis Division of the Air Force Office of Special Investigations, she used the term "sexual predator" without adequate support. After further discussion and a statement from Ms. Walsh, the motion carried 6-3), with Drs. Lovejoy and Wiggins and Mr. Donaldson voting no.

7. MID-MORNING BREAK

8. CASE DISCUSSION/DECISION

a) Gary Lassen, Ph.D. - No. 06-35

The Board members proceeded to discuss this case. Ms. Wood advised the Board that it did not have the authority to accept a non-disciplinary consent agreement. She then advised that the Board issue a stipulated dismissal and answered Board members' legal questions. Dr. Lovejoy made a motion, seconded by Dr. Santos de Barona, and unanimously carried (8-0), to offer Dr. Lassen a stipulation to dismiss the complaint with the agreement that Dr. Lassen would not renew his inactive license to practice psychology in the March 2007 license renewal cycle.

9. HEARING ON DENIAL OF LICENSE APPLICATION - Luz Cornell, Ph.D.

Dr. Cox announced that it was the time and place for the hearing on the denial of the license application of Dr. Luz Cornell. Dr. Cornell introduced herself, as did Board members and staff. Ms. Erin Cohen, Esq., was present representing the State, and Dr. Cox announced that

Christopher Munns, of the Solicitor General's Office, was available by telephone as legal counsel for the Board. Dr. Cox explained the procedures for the hearing and Dr. Cornell made an opening statement to the Board, followed by an opening statement from Ms. Cohen. Dr. Cornell was then sworn in by the court reporter whose transcript shall serve as the official record of the proceeding. Dr. Cornell then answered questions from the Board. Ms. Cohen moved to enter exhibits and called Mr. Harvey as a witness. Mr. Harvey was sworn in and answered questions from Ms. Cohen. Dr. Cornell then made a closing statement, followed by a closing statement from Ms. Cohen. Dr. Cox explained the Board's options and Board members proceeded to deliberate.

After some discussion, Dr. Santos de Barona made a motion, seconded by Dr. Lovejoy, and carried (7-1), with Dr. Cox voting no, to grant a license to Dr. Cornell.

10. HEARING ON DENIAL OF LICENSE APPLICATION – Susan Martin, Psy.D.

Dr. Cox announced that it was the time and place for the hearing on the denial of the license application of Dr. Susan Martin. Dr. Martin was present with her attorney, Rob Becht, Esq., who introduced themselves, as did Board members and staff. Ms. Erin Cohen, Esq., was present representing the State, and Dr. Cox announced that Christopher Munns, of the Solicitor General's Office, was available by telephone as legal counsel for the Board. Dr. Cox explained the procedures for the hearing and Mr. Becht made an opening statement to the Board, followed by an opening statement from Ms. Cohen. Dr. Martin was then sworn in by the court reporter whose transcript shall serve as the official record of the proceeding. Dr. Martin then answered questions from the Board. Ms. Cohen moved to enter exhibits and called Mr. Harvey as a witness. Mr. Harvey was sworn in and answered questions from Ms. Cohen, the Board members, and Mr. Becht. Mr. Becht then made a closing statement, followed by a closing statement from Ms. Cohen. Dr. Cox then explained the Board's options and Board members proceeded to deliberate.

Dr. Paul made a motion, seconded by Ms. Del-Colle, which failed (4-4), with Drs. Lovejoy, Mellott, and Wiggins, and Mr. Donaldson voting no, to affirm the denial of licensure of Susan Martin, Psy.D. After further discussion, Dr. Lovejoy made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wiggins, which failed (4-4), with Ms. Del-Colle, and Drs. Santos de Barona, Cox, and Paul voting no, to grant a license to Dr. Martin.

Christopher Munns, Esq., of the Solicitor General's Office, was contacted for legal advice and he answered Board members' questions. After further deliberations, Dr. Lovejoy made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wiggins, which carried (5-3), with Ms. Del-Colle, and Drs. Cox and Paul voting no, to grant a license to Dr. Martin.

11. MID-AFTERNOON BREAK

12. HEARING ON DENIAL OF LICENSE APPLICATION – Marie Hawkins, Psy.D.

Dr. Cox announced that it was the time and place for the hearing on the denial of the license application of Dr. Marie Hawkins. Dr. Cox recused himself from participation in this case, and Dr. Paul chaired this proceeding. Dr. Ramona Mellott announced that she was an official supervisor "on paper" but that she did not know Dr. Hawkins and could remain unbiased in her participation in this case. Dr. Paul then proceeded to explain the procedures for the hearing. Dr. Hawkins made an opening statement to the Board, followed by an opening statement from Ms. Cohen. Dr. Hawkins was then sworn in by the court reporter whose transcript shall serve as the official record of the proceeding. Dr. Hawkins answered questions from the Board. Ms. Cohen informed the Board that she realized that the Board inappropriately denied licensure to Dr. Hawkins on the grounds of committing unprofessional conduct in another state because a DUI is not unprofessional conduct under the Board's statutes. Therefore Ms. Cohen argued that the Board should dismiss the case. Board members proceeded to deliberate and asked for legal advice from Mr. Munns.

After further deliberations, Dr. Lovejoy made a motion, seconded by Mr. Donaldson, which carried (7-0-1), with Dr. Cox not voting, to approve Dr. Hawkins to take the Examination for Professional Practice in Psychology and for licensure upon receipt of a passing score, and payment of the prorated original license fee.

Saturday, December 2, 20061

1400 West Washington Basement Conference Room, #B-1 Phoenix, AZ 85007

13. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners was called to order by Chairperson Cox at 8:35 a.m. on Saturday, December 2, 2006. No Executive Sessions were held.

14. ROLL CALL

Board Members Present²

James J. Cox, Ed.D. - Chairperson Miki Paul, Ph.D. - Vice-Chairperson Gary D. Lovejoy, Ph.D. - Secretary Melissa Del-Colle Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D. Maryann Santos de Barona, Ph.D. Fred Wiggins, Ph.D.

Board Member Absent

Joseph C. Donaldson

Staff Present

Maxine McCarthy, Executive Director Marcus Harvey, Deputy Director Vicki Fox, Investigator Shari Courtnay, Administrative Assistant

Attorney General's Office

Erin Cohen, Esq.³ Assistant Attorney General

15. INFORMAL INTERVIEW – Valarie Hannemann, Ph.D. – No. 06-16

Dr. Cox stated that it was the time and place for the informal interview of Dr. Valarie Hannemann. Dr. Mellott disclosed that she once had lunch with Dr. Hannemann some years ago, but that it would not bias her participation in this case. Dr. Hannemann was present with her attorney, Steve Horton, Esq., who introduced themselves, as did Board members, staff, and Ms. Cohen, the Board's attorney. Dr. Cox announced the procedures for the hearing and read the allegations against Dr. Hannemann. Dr. Hannemann was sworn in by the court reporter present whose transcript shall serve as the official record of the proceedings. Board members then proceeded to interview Dr. Hannemann. Mr. Horton made a closing statement after which Board members proceeded to deliberate. After some discussion, a motion was made by Dr. Lovejoy, seconded by Dr. Wiggins, to find Dr. Hannemann in violation of A.R.S. §§ 32-2061(A)(13)(h), (k), (o), (bb), and (cc), and 36-3281(C) in that:

- She did not maintain case notes for over 50 sessions between 1/8/01 and 11/6/02 for which ledger entries exist and for which she billed insurance. There were also multiple instances of session notes without ledger entries or insurance billing records and instances where she billed insurance for sessions which are not reflected in the ledger. However, no fraud or intent to defraud was found by the Board.
- Her records contained insufficient documentation regarding the presence or absence of suicidal ideation where such was warranted.
- She failed to adequately document consultations with other professionals or her attempts to terminate treatment with the client, K.A., in this case.
- She failed to adequately document updates of treatment plans with goals and direction of therapy regarding K.A.
- Her file maintenance system did not allow for efficient retrieval of records in this matter.
- She failed to adequately document informed consent, including an explanation of policies and procedures of her practice as well as her financial arrangements for the client, K.A.

¹ Items in the minutes after this point took place on Saturday, December 2, 2006, unless otherwise indicated.

² There was currently a vacancy on the Board for a public member position.

³ Present at 1:10 p.m. for Agenda item nos. 15 through 17.

- She engaged in a multiple relationship by agreeing to be an alternate medical decision maker by signing a Durable Mental Health Care Power of Attorney form regarding K.A.
- She communicated with the client, K.A., after the complaint was filed and conveyed misinformation to K.A. about her rights regarding her client records.
- She used the E-mail server for a public agency to conduct her private psychology practice, which may have compromised the confidentiality of the client.
- She failed to provide verbatim type written notes of all of K.A.'s session notes in response to a subpoena Duces Tecum issued by the Board.
- She failed to provide client records to K.A. which K.A. requested on May 12, 2006, and as
 of December 2, 2006, she still had not provided the records to K.A. without documenting
 appropriate exceptions.

Mr. Horton and Dr. Hannemann then made statements to the Board. The Board voted on the motion which carried unanimously (7-0). Board members then proceeded to discuss a disciplinary order. Dr. Santos de Barona made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wiggins, and unanimously carried (7-0), to place Dr. Hannemann on probation for 12 months, requiring 12 total hours of continuing education, in addition to the 60 hours required of all licensees, consisting of a minimum of four hours in legal, ethical and risk management, and a minimum of four hours in record keeping, with the remaining four hours to be from either or both of those areas. Dr. Hannemann would also be required to employ the services of a practice management consultant who would assess her practice, would provide monthly reports to the Board in the first quarter and then quarterly reports thereafter. The licensee shall implement the practice recommendations of the consultant and shall report to the Board compliance with such. The chair or a committee designated by the chair would review such compliance.

The Board took a recess during which time Ms. Cohen and Ms. McCarthy discussed with Mr. Horton and Dr. Hannemann the possibility of their agreeing to a consent agreement on the terms outlined by the Board. Mr. Horton then addressed the Board about some of the terms of the proposed consent agreement and indicated that he would work on the details of the consent agreement with Ms. McCarthy and Ms. Cohen. It was the consensus of the Board to allow the Board staff and Ms. Cohen to work out an agreement with Dr. Hannemann and Mr. Horton and to schedule a conference call to consider this matter in the future.

16. MID-MORNING BREAK

17. INFORMAL INTERVIEW – Kathleen Donaghy, Ph.D. – No. 06-31

Dr. Cox stated that it was the time and place for the informal interview of Dr. Kathleen Donaghy. Dr. Donaghy was present with her attorney, Steve Myers, Esq., who introduced themselves, as did Board members, staff, and Ms. Cohen. Dr. Cox announced the procedures for the hearing and read the allegations against Dr. Donaghy. Dr. Donaghy was sworn in by the court reporter present, whose transcript shall serve as the official record of the proceedings. Dr. Donaghy made an opening statement to the Board. Dr. Cox read a review of the case that was provided by Mr. Donaldson, who was the lead Board member on this case, but was not present. Mr. Myers was then permitted to address the Board in response to Mr. Donaldson's statement. Board members then questioned Dr. Donaghy and proceeded to deliberate.

After some discussion, a motion was made by Dr. Lovejoy, seconded by Dr. Mellott, and carried (5-2), with Ms. Del-Colle and Dr. Paul voting no, to issue a letter of concern to Dr. Donaghy expressing the Board's concerns that she provided a false statement to the Board when she declared that she did not provide Reiki therapy in conjunction with her psychology practice. The Board also cautioned the licensee in how she represents her gualifications to the public.

18. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING VIOLATION OF BOARD ORDER – John Kent, Ph.D. – 06-34 – *Friday, December 1, 2006*

Ms. McCarthy updated the Board regarding the requirements of the Board's Order to Dr. John Kent and his non-compliance with them. After some discussion, Dr. Santos de Barona made a motion, seconded by Dr. Lovejoy, and unanimously carried (8-0), to add the Board's concerns

regarding Dr. Kent's violations of the Board Order to the Complaint prepared for the Formal Hearing to be held in February, 2007.

19. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING INFORMATION RECEIVED CONCERNING THE FOLLOWING LICENSEES: - Friday, December 1, 2006

- Judith Becker, Ph.D.
- Shannon Tromp, Ph.D.
- Bruce Hamstra, Ed.D.

After some discussion, Dr. Lovejoy made a motion, seconded by Dr. Paul, and unanimously carried (8-0), to take no action on this information.

20. COUNSEL REPORT - Friday, December 1, 2006

Erin Cohen, Esq., Assistant Attorney General, informed the Board that she was pursuing the injunction against Damaris Drewry, Ph.D. Dr. Drewry had hired an attorney and Ms. Cohen stated that she was working on a stipulated agreement agreement with Dr. Drewry, who had indicated that she wished to be in compliance with the Board's statutes.

21. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

• <u>Financial</u> – Ms. McCarthy reported that at the end of October, which was four months into the fiscal year, the Board had spent only 30% of its appropriated funds which was on track for this point in the fiscal year.

Ms. McCarthy then brought to the Board's attention unexpected mid-fiscal year increases in two areas of the budget as follows: In-State Travel and charges to the Board by the Department of Administration for the Board's accounting and payroll services.

These increases, Ms. McCarthy explained, may result in asking the Board members to attend Complaint Screening Committee meetings via teleconference instead of attending in person and could also impact other areas of the budget such as out of state travel and professional services. She urged the Board to proceed cautiously with expenditures for the remainder of the fiscal year, which ends on June 30, 2007. Ms. McCarthy stated that she would request supplemental funds for the coming fiscal year if the need arises.

22. LICENSING REPORT – Friday, December 1, 2006

➤ New Licenses Issued – Mr. Harvey reported that the Board had licensed the following 19 psychologists since the October 6, 2006 meeting, six of them by credential:

3827	Barbara Hare, Psy.D.	3837	Roger Likewise, Ph.D.
3828	Sandra Dannenbaum, Ph.D.	3838	David Ramstad, Psy.D.
3829	Jeane Lambrecht, Psy.D.	3839	Laura Bartels, Psy.D.
3830	Margaret Meigs, Ph.D.	3840	Nicole Robicheau, Psy.D.
3831	Marci Gluck, Ph.D.	3841	John Rosegrant, Ph.D.
3832	Anthony Tatman, Ph.D.	3842	Lana Susskind-Wilder, Psy.D.
3833	Joanna Woods, Psy.D.	3843	Philip Stahl, Ph.D.
3834	Jonna Krabbenhoft, Psy.D.	3844	Melanie Rich, Ph.D.
3835	Demetria Brown, Psy.D.	3845	Trisha Lavigne, Psy.D.
3836	Lisa Unruh-Kirk, Psy.D.		

Mr. Harvey then reported that the Board had issued 83 new licenses this year, which compared to 66 licenses issued by this time last year.

➤ <u>EPPP Results</u> – Mr. Harvey next reported that the following four applicants had passed the Examination for Professional Practice in Psychology in October and November, with two failing:

Pass

Jonna Krabbenhoft, Psy.D. Trisha Lavigne, Psy.D. Nicole Robicheau, Psy.D. Lana Susskind-Wilder, Psy.D. Fail
Linda Salvucci, Ph.D.
Sally Davey, Psy.D.

New Applications – Finally, Mr. Harvey reported that the Board had received 19 new applications over the past two months since the last Board meeting. This made a total of 104 applications received this year, which compared to 101 total applications received by this time last year.

23. INVESTIGATIONS REPORT – Friday, December 1, 2006

- ▶ <u>New Cases Received</u> Ms. Fox reported that the Board had received 43 new cases this calendar year, compared to 41 received by this time last year. Since the last Board meeting in October, nine new complaints had been received.
- Cases Resolved Ms. Fox then reported that three of the new complaints had been dismissed without merit, one had been continued for further review of additional information, and five were currently in the process of investigation.

Finally, Ms. Fox reported that the consent agreements offered by the Board to Drs. Dan Kruger and Ronald Lavit at the last Board meeting had been signed.

24. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING APPLICATIONS - Friday, December 1, 2006

> REQUEST FOR EXAMINATION

Dr. Santos de Barona made a motion, seconded by Dr. Lovejoy, and unanimously carried (8-0), that **Lisa Pearson**, **Ph.D.**, having obtained a doctoral degree in psychology as required under A.R.S. § 32-2071, be approved to sit for the Examination for Professional Practice in Psychology (EPPP).

> REQUEST FOR EXAMINATION & LICENSURE

Dr. Santos de Barona next made a motion, seconded by Dr. Lovejoy, and unanimously carried (8-0), that **Amy Serin, Ph.D.**, having met the requirements of A.R.S. § 32-2071 and A.A.C. R4-26-203, be approved to sit for the EPPP, and for licensure upon receipt of a passing score on the examination, and payment of the pro-rated original license fee.

> REQUESTS FOR LICENSURE BY WAIVER OF EXAMINATION

A motion was then made by Dr. Santos de Barona, seconded by Dr. Lovejoy, and unanimously carried (8-0), that the following applicants, having met the requirements of A.R.S. § 32-2071.01(A), be approved for licensure upon payment of the pro-rated original license fee:

- Aimee Goldstein, Ph.D.
- Mary Novi, Psy.D.

> RATIFICATION OF LICENSES ISSUED BY CREDENTIAL

Dr. Santos de Barona then made a motion, seconded by Dr. Lovejoy, and unanimously carried (8-0), to ratify the issuance of licensure by credential to the following applicants, who had met the requirements of A.R.S. § 32-2071.01(B):

- Pedro Fuentes, Ph.D.
- Melanie Rich, Ph.D.
- John Rosegrant, Ph.D.
- Philip Stahl, Ph.D.

Finally, Dr. Santos de Barona announced that the following applications remained ongoing:

- Sharon Anderson, Psy.D.
- Marion Baker, Psy.D.
- Chales Bilbrey, Ph.D.

- Debra Davis-Johnson, Ph.D.
- Chelle' Lodge-Guttery, Ph.D.
- Stephen Myles, Ph.D.
- Page Odom, Psy.D.
- Bradley Robison, Ph.D.
- Ian Sadler, Ph.D.
- Regina Santelli, Ph.D.
- Nitika Singh, Ph.D.
- Justin Smith, Psy.D.
- Thomas Virden, Ph.D.

25. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING INSTITUTING A BOARD POLICY ON UNTIMELY SUBMISSIONS TO THE BOARD – Friday, December 1, 2006

Ms. McCarthy reported that the Board staff was seeking direction regarding an increasing problem with licensees failing to respond to the Board in a timely manner. After a lengthy discussion, it was the consensus of the Board that materials provided past the deadline would still be forwarded to the Complaint Screening Committee. However, the Board instructed staff to add statements to the complaint notice letters, which would state that action may be taken on the case even if the requested information is not timely received by the Board and that a licensee's failure to timely submit the information may result in a separate complaint being filed against the licensee by the Board. It was further noted that the Board is willing to accommodate licensees by granting extensions when requested for good cause if the request is received before the deadline expires.

26. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING RULE REVISIONS

Mr. Harvey explained the changes suggested by the Governor's Regulatory Review Council staff to the new Board rule R4-26-305 that was approved by the Board at its August 18, 2006 meeting. After some discussion, Dr. Paul made a motion, seconded by Dr. Lovejoy, and unanimously carried (7-0), to accept the revised language on R4-26-305.

27. REPORT ON ASPPB ANNUAL MEETING - Friday, December 1, 2006

Dr. Paul provided the Board with a report on the Annual Meeting of the Association of State and Provincial Psychology Board's (ASPPB) meeting in October that she attended. She highlighted many of the important topics of discussion from the meeting and then moved to nominate Ms. McCarthy for the ASPPB's 2007 Ming Fisher Award that recognizes board administrators. The nomination was seconded by Dr. Lovejoy and unanimously carried (8-0).

28. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING WHETHER SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGISTS NOT LICENSED BY THE BOARD MAY PROVIDE SUPERVISION TO INTERNS UNDER A.R.S. § 32-2071(D)(2)

Dr. Cox turned this discussion over to Dr. Paul who chaired this agenda item. Dr. Cox then provided the Board with the background on this issue and Board members proceeded to discuss the issue. Dr. Lovejoy made a motion, seconded by Dr. Santos de Barona, and carried (5-2), with Drs. Cox and Paul voting no, that the Board take a position that the interpretation of the statutes discussed allow that the second supervisor of an internship training experience may be a certified school psychologist, of the type specified under A.R.S. § 32-2075(A)(1). The Legislative/Rules Committee was instructed to address clarifying this issue when considering future statute revisions.

29. ELECTION OF NEW OFFICERS

Dr. Cox opened up the nominations for the election of Board officers for the 2007 calendar year. Dr. Lovejoy nominated Dr. Paul for Chairperson, which was seconded by Dr. Mellott. Dr. Paul accepted the nomination, and the Board voted unanimously (7-0), to elect her as Chairperson for 2007.

Dr. Mellott then made a nomination, seconded by Dr. Paul, that Dr. Lovejoy be elected as Vice-Chairperson. Dr. Lovejoy accepted the nomination and was unanimously elected (7-0) to be Vice-Chairperson in 2007.

Finally, Dr. Paul nominated Dr. Santos de Barona for Secretary, which was seconded by Dr. Wiggins. Dr. Santos de Barona accepted the nomination and was unanimously elected (7-0) as Secretary for 2007.

30. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Board, a motion was made by Dr. Mellott, seconded by Dr. Paul, and unanimously carried (7-0), to adjourn the meeting on Saturday at 5:11 p.m.

Prepared by: Respectfully submitted,

Marcus Harvey Deputy Director

/s/ Gary D. Lovejoy, Ph.D. Secretary